



# Black and White Photography as Theorizing: Seeing What the Eye Cannot See

Saskia Sassen<sup>1</sup>

---

*This article is part of a panel discussion addressing the sociological relevance of Sebastião Salgado's work as well as documentary photography in general.<sup>2</sup>*

---

**KEY WORDS:** documentation; photography; social issues; sociological perspective; universalism; visual sociology.

"... I don't see horrible things. I see reality."<sup>3</sup>

## INTRODUCTION

In this exploration of Sebastião Salgado's work, I speak as a sociologist and social theorist who has researched some of the conditions that Salgado captures in his photography. This is my point of entry and my foothold for navigating Salgado's photography.<sup>4</sup> My point of entry is not the expert literature on Salgado's work nor is it the critical literature on photography.

From that perspective, I want to experiment with the thesis that black and white photography of actual settings creates distance and thereby unsettles meaning. It is not simply about the image but also about the nonimage—all the other presences that hover in a sort of penumbra around the image. We cannot see these presences with our eyes. However, we can see them theoretically. In contrast, color photography of actual settings overwhelms with its specificity and leaves little room for distance and thereby for theory. It seems to me that Salgado's photography allows us to see something that is actively (not casually) obscured by the brilliance, richness, and specificity of a color photograph of the same subjects/objects.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Sociology, Columbia University, Knox Hall, Fifth Floor, New York, New York; e-mail: sjs2@columbia.edu.

<sup>2</sup> See Carr (2011) for details of the session. For other essays from the session, see Gold (2011), Kay (2011), Rudel (2011), and Wolford (2011).

<sup>3</sup> Sebastião Salgado, Interview by Audrey Singer, *Contexts*.

<sup>4</sup> The references to research and findings all come from Sassen (2008).

1 I could not engage in this experiment without the essays by my colleagues  
2 on the panel with their detailed accounts about Salgado's trajectory as a pho-  
3 tographer (Kay, 2011), the new direction represented by his current project  
4 *Genesis* (Rudel, 2011), his critical involvement very early on in the Sem Terra  
5 movement in Brazil (Wolford, 2011), and, more generally, on the relationship  
6 between documentary photography and sociology (Gold, 2011).

7 Building on these accounts, I want to explore particular ways in which  
8 Salgado's photography produces knowledge beyond the actual visual content  
9 in his photographs, and in this sense his photography is heuristic—it produces  
10 knowledge about more than what the photograph's content itself captures  
11 visually, but it does so through the photograph itself. This is also a way of  
12 sorting what Salgado himself refers to as universalism, and Tamara Kay  
13 describes as “Family of Man” values. “Salgado's images cover the ‘Family of  
14 Man’ gamut, depicting people working, building, loving, warring, playing, cel-  
15 ebrating, and grieving—without invoking stereotypes” (2011:X). **1**

16 I do this through several propositions that reconceptualize this “universal-  
17 ism” by making it not an attribute but a working methodology and theoriza-  
18 tion that becomes a lens onto a larger reality.  
19  
20

## 21 **BEYOND SOCIAL EXCLUSIONS: NEW LOGICS OF EXPULSION**

22

23 With the onset of the neoliberal global project in the 1980s, there has  
24 been a sharp growth in the numbers of people who have been “expulsed,”  
25 numbers far larger than the newly “incorporated” middle classes of countries  
26 such as India and China. I use the term “expulsed” to describe a diversity of  
27 conditions: the growing numbers of the abjectly poor, of the displaced in poor  
28 countries who are warehoused in formal and informal refugee camps, of the  
29 minoritized and persecuted in rich countries who are warehoused in prisons,  
30 of workers whose bodies are destroyed on the job and rendered useless at far  
31 too young an age, able-bodied surplus populations warehoused in ghettos  
32 and slums. I add to this the repositioning as *land* for sale on the global market  
33 of what had been constructed as national sovereign territory. This is land in  
34 Africa, Central Asia, and Latin America that is being bought by rich investors  
35 and rich governments to grow food, to access underground water tables, and  
36 to access minerals and metals.

37 My argument is that this massive expulsion is actually signaling a deeper  
38 systemic transformation that has been documented in bits and pieces but not  
39 quite narrated as an overarching dynamic that is taking us into a new phase  
40 of global capitalism.

41 I find in Salgado's photography the capacity to show the multisited global  
42 presence of a vast array of logics of expulsion. He finds the articulation  
43 between the particularity of the local event in his photo with a larger process  
44 that engenders it. Salgado writes, “I believe that some answers must exist, that  
45 humanity is capable of understanding, even controlling, the political,

1 economic, and social forces that we have set loose across the globe. ... Are we  
 2 to do nothing in the face of the steady deterioration of our habitat, whether in  
 3 cities or in nature? Are we to remain indifferent as the values of rich and poor  
 4 countries alike deepen the divisions in our societies? We cannot” (Salgado,  
 5 2000:14).

6  
 7

## 8 *Migration*

9

10 Salgado’s photography contains a range of spaces that are neither  
 11 national nor global, which allow for a recurrence of themes (struggles, suffer-  
 12 ings, humanities) that he then thinks of as universalisms. But in fact this pho-  
 13 tography illuminates foundational dynamics taking place today that can be  
 14 understood in framings other than universalisms, including, as Galeano  
 15 (1990:11) puts it, horizontal solidarities.

16

17 Coming from a country with all these social issues and social problems, and a country  
 18 that was emerging as a market, urbanizing, and with all these conflicts, its likely the  
 19 only thing possible for me as a photographer in the beginning was social photography,  
 20 because my life was a social issue. For my entire life, I have worked only on social  
 21 issues until now with the *Genesis* project, where I am working on environmental issues,  
 22 which in the end are social issues.” (From interview with Audrey Singer)

21

22

23

## 24 **WHEN TIME AND TERRITORY SEEP OUT OF THE CAGES OF** 25 **NATION-STATES**

26

27 At its most foundational, I see Salgado’s photography as capturing  
 28 worlds that become visible when we break the conceptual and operational  
 29 cages into which territory and time have been pushed over the last few centu-  
 30 ries by the project of making nation-states built on voracious corporate capi-  
 31 talisms. I see this also as feeding his political engagement with his subjects’  
 32 struggles and sufferings across the world, beyond any particular nation-state.  
 33 At the same time, I am not sure I would use the term he himself uses—univer-  
 34 salism—to describe this. Each is sited/situated even as this siting takes place  
 35 across the most diverse places worldwide.

36

37 This project of nation-state building standardized, bureaucratized, and  
 38 nationalized time and territory, though never succeeding completely. For centu-  
 39 ries, national states worked at nationalizing territory, identity, security,  
 40 power, rights—all the key elements of social and political existence. When the  
 41 national state is the dominant format, the overarching dynamic is centripetal:  
 42 the center grasps most of what there is to be had. Those nationalizing dynam-  
 43 ics assembled the pieces of what we now experience as the national and, too  
 44 often, the “natural.”

45 What happens outside the borders of territorial states—whether  
 the impoverished terrains of former empires or the earth’s poles—was and

1 continues to be written out of history. Salgado's photography breaks these  
2 cages open; his aim is to recover the universal.

3 In fact, it is also capturing what is still not completely recognized. Cur-  
4 rent unsettlements are breaking the cages into which territory and time have  
5 been pushed over the last few centuries. The global climate crisis is partly  
6 what was exported out of these cages into a *putative* no-man's land as part of  
7 the making of capitalism. But such a no-man's land does not exist. It is actu-  
8 ally the atmosphere that surrounds us, or poor people and poor areas of the  
9 global South, or the disadvantaged in the rich countries who have been far  
10 more exposed to toxicity than the privileged. The glaciers, once remote and  
11 immobile, are now at our door in liquid form. The multitudes of disadvan-  
12 taged are becoming actors on a global stage. Neither the glaciers nor these  
13 multitudes can be kept in that putative no-man's land upon which powerful  
14 shapers of this economy thought they could rely.

15 Today's catastrophic conditions—the melting of the glaciers, the radical-  
16 ness of today's poverty, the violence of extreme economic inequality, the geno-  
17 cidal character of more and more wars—are often seen as a new era. But it  
18 seems to me they are not. On the contrary, they have long been part of that  
19 putative no-man's land that absorbed the costs of making nation-states and  
20 capitalism, and much of Salgado's photography captures precisely this. His  
21 photographs narrate the current condition in a far more encompassing manner  
22 than standard narratives about nation-states and globalization.

23 These conditions have existed for a long time, but today they are crossing  
24 new thresholds and, crucially, they become legible as the cages of the national  
25 begin to fall apart and reveal the landscapes of devastation on which they  
26 were built. "Our over-cantilevered bridge cannot cope with the warming  
27 waters below" (Hilary Koob-Sassen, *Serpentine Manifesto*, London October  
28 2008).

29 Salgado's *Genesis* project took him a long distance to find a territory that  
30 was beyond that *putative* no-man's land, a territory where a complex  
31 biosphere can still be found and a part of our mankind that has not been  
32 flattened by oppression and consumerism. In Salgado's words (2010), "*Genesis*  
33 is an attempt to portray the beauty and the majesty of regions that are still in  
34 a pristine condition." Rudel's (2011) essay describes this search for such a  
35 territory and people.

## 36 37 38 **WHEN POWERLESSNESS BECOMES COMPLEX**

39  
40 As territory and time seep out of the old nation-state cages they begin to  
41 constitute a proliferation of partial, often highly specialized, assemblages of  
42 bits of territory, authority, and rights once firmly ensconced in national insti-  
43 tutional frames, including oppressive forms of capitalism. Thus his early work  
44 with the Sem Terra movement and the resulting book *Terra* is one instance of  
45

1 territory and time seeping out of the cages of the national—territory becomes  
2 land and the short timeframes of capitalism becomes decades of struggle.

3 These often thick, subnational settings are building blocks for new global  
4 geographies. These globalities do not run through supranational institutions  
5 that take out that thickness and generalize across differences. They resonate  
6 with Nicolas Bourriaud’s notion of an alter-modernity that “arises out of  
7 planetary negotiations, discussions between agents from different cultures”  
8 (from Tate Britain 2009 Triennale, London).

9 Building on the above, I find in what Salgado refers to as universalism a  
10 localism marked by immobilities, and I do not see this as a contradiction.  
11 Once territory and time seep out of the cages of the national, the immobile  
12 can be global actors—their bodies do not cross the borders of national states,  
13 but that does not preclude them from being part of global subjectivities and  
14 politics.

15 Under these conditions powerlessness can become complex and thereby  
16 contain the possibility of a politics, of making the political, even if there is no  
17 empowerment. I use this notion here to distinguish it from empower-  
18 ment—powerlessness can be complex even if there is no empowerment. The  
19 long struggle by the Sem Terra and their politics of claim-making made their  
20 powerlessness complex: they did not ask simply for “a bit more ... more  
21 wages, more help” from the state. They wanted their land returned. They were  
22 making history, whether they got the land or not. (Instituto Terra 2010;  
23 Salgado 1990)

24 Salgado is a participant in the making of these histories. The photography  
25 in *Workers: An Archeology of the Industrial Age* (1993) and *Migrations* (2000)  
26 entailed time with workers and migrants in dozens of countries. As he tells us  
27 in the interview with Audrey Singer:

28 I have never done my photography alone. When I did completely social photography,  
29 for example, in refugee camps, I did not go there, take my pictures and leave. I worked  
30 with UNICEF, with Doctors without Borders, with Save the Children and with a group  
31 of institutions. I went there with them. We all worked together, we all lived together, I  
32 gave my pictures to them. They gave me information. I worked within the system.

33 This case serves to illustrate the way Salgado’s photography of thick,  
34 localized realities is a sort of universal through recurrence rather than some  
35 floating signifier. It shows us that the spaces and times of the “universal” can  
36 contain thick immobilities. The long period of time that Salgado spent with  
37 the subjects of his diverse photographic projects is itself a concrete instance of  
38 these thick immobilities: he spent 15 months in Africa’s Sahel region to work  
39 on the famine, six years documenting the lives and struggles of workers  
40 around the world, and eight years on the *Genesis* project.

41 Today there are nomadisms not predicated on geographic mobility. We  
42 need to dislodge mobility and globality from the entrapment of geographical  
43 movement, especially when the latter becomes a logic for domination, as in  
44 the camps of displaced people, or refugees flow, or migrant workers. There are  
45 new mobilities, such that those unable or unwilling to “travel” can nonetheless

1 experience themselves as part of larger worlds marked by recurrence of mean-  
 2 ings, struggles, imaginaries in multiple other locations (including many where  
 3 geographic immobility is the norm). These are mobilities that contribute to  
 4 “make” participation and to make horizontal globalities that do not need to  
 5 go through vertical and centralizing institutions. The Sem Terra gaining the  
 6 right to land and the peoples of *Genesis*, each are an instance of this new  
 7 mobility and globality that connects to others even as there is no geographic  
 8 movement.

9  
 10 When you see a picture like those that I am taking, you see that this is *your* planet,  
 11 *your* Amazon ... Papua New Guinea is *yours*, Antarctica is *yours*, the United States is  
 12 *my* planet. I am part of it. ... Everyone knows this now. That means what I will show  
 13 is our heritage and we need to be concerned with it—I do not believe when people see  
 14 this they will see it as very far away.” (Interview with Audrey Singer, 2010)

## 15 REFERENCES

- 16  
 17 Carr, Deborah. 2011. “Celebrating the Work of Sebastião Salgado: A Sociological Lens on  
 18 Salgado’s Documentary Photography,” *Sociological Forum* 26(2): ???-???. 2
- 19 Galeano, Eduardo. 1990. “Salgado, 17 Times,” in Asa Zatz (trans.), *An Uncertain Grace*. New  
 20 York: Aperture, in association with the San Francisco Museum of Modern Art.
- 21 Gold, Steven J. 2011. “Sebastião Salgado and Visual Sociology,” *Sociological Forum* 26(2):  
 22 ???-???. 3
- 23 Instituto Terra. 2010. “Instituto Terra.” Retrieved September 30, 2010 (<http://www.institutoterra.org/eng/index.htm>). 4
- 24 Kay, Tamara. 2011. “Building Solidarity with Subjects and Audience in Sociology and Document-  
 25 tary Photography,” *Sociological Forum* 26(2): ???-???. 5
- 26 Rudel, Thomas K. 2011. “Images, Ideology, and Praxis in the Environmental Movement:  
 27 Sebastião Salgado’s *Genesis* Project,” *Sociological Forum* 26(2): ???-???. 6
- 28 Salgado, Sebastião. 1990. *An Uncertain Grace*. New York: Aperture, in association with the San  
 29 Francisco Museum of Modern Art. 7
- 30 Salgado, Sebastião. 2000. *Migrations*. New York: Aperture.
- 31 Salgado, Sebastião. 2010. “Genesis.” Retrieved September 20, 2010 ([http://www.amazonasimag-  
 32 es.com/grands-travaux?HPHSESSID=2a078b639171f014f597ee841c223fcb](http://www.amazonasimag-es.com/grands-travaux?HPHSESSID=2a078b639171f014f597ee841c223fcb)). 8
- 33  
 34  
 35  
 36  
 37  
 38  
 39  
 40  
 41  
 42  
 43  
 44  
 45

# Author Query Form

Journal: SOCF

Article: 1251

Dear Author,

During the copy-editing of your paper, the following queries arose. Please respond to these by marking up your proofs with the necessary changes/additions. Please write your answers on the query sheet if there is insufficient space on the page proofs. Please write clearly and follow the conventions shown on the attached corrections sheet. If returning the proof by fax do not write too close to the paper's edge. Please remember that illegible mark-ups may delay publication.

Many thanks for your assistance.

Query reference	Query	Remarks
1	<b>AUTHOR: Please fill in proper page number from this issue.</b>	
2	<b>AUTHOR: Please fill in page numbers; the article is in this issue.</b>	
3	<b>AUTHOR: Please fill in page numbers; the article is in this issue</b>	
4	<b>AUTHOR: Instituo Terra 2010 is not referenced in the text.</b>	
5	<b>AUTHOR: Please fill in page numbers; the article is in this issue</b>	
6	<b>AUTHOR: Please fill in page numbers; the article is in this issue</b>	
7	<b>AUTHOR: Salgado 1990 is not referenced in the text</b>	

**8**

**AUTHOR: Please fill in page numbers; the article is in this issue.**



# Proof Correction Marks

Please correct and return your proofs using the proof correction marks below. For a more detailed look at using these marks please reference the most recent edition of The Chicago Manual of Style and visit them on the Web at: <http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/home.html>

<i>Instruction to typesetter</i>	<i>Textual mark</i>	<i>Marginal mark</i>
Leave unchanged	... under matter to remain	<i>stet</i>
Insert in text the matter indicated in the margin	^	^ followed by new matter
Delete	Ʒ through single character, rule or underline or Ʒ through all characters to be deleted	Ʒ
Substitute character or substitute part of one or more word(s)	Ƶ through letter or  —  through characters	new character Ƶ or new characters Ƶ
Change to italics	— under matter to be changed	<i>ital</i>
Change to capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	<i>Caps</i>
Change to small capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	<i>sc</i>
Change to bold type	~ under matter to be changed	<i>bf</i>
Change to bold italic	~ under matter to be changed	<i>bf+ital</i>
Change to lower case	Ɔ	<i>lc</i>
Insert superscript	√	√ under character e.g. √
Insert subscript	^	^ over character e.g. ^
Insert full stop	⊙	⊙
Insert comma	↵	↵
Insert single quotation marks	↵ ↵	↵ ↵
Insert double quotation marks	↵ ↵	↵ ↵
Insert hyphen	=	=
Start new paragraph	¶	¶
Transpose	┌┐	┌┐
Close up	linking ○ characters	○
Insert or substitute space between characters or words	#	#
Reduce space between characters or words	◌	◌